e-ISSN:2320-0189
p-ISSN:2347-2308
Research and Reviews: Journal of Botanical Sciences

Plant Tissue Culture of Banana in Laboratory

Sumalatha Avvaru*

Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Lankapalli Bullayya PG College, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India

Review Article

Received:13/09/2016
Revised:08/10/2016
Accepted:10/10/2016

***For Correspondence**
Sumalatha Avvaru, Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Lankapalli Bullayya PG College, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India, Tel: +918500282166.

E-mail:
avvaru_sumalatha@yahoo.com

Keywords: Tissue culture, Artificial medium, Micro propagation, Meristem tip culture, Explants, Suckers, Sterilization, *In vitro* aseptic environment, Humidity, MS media, Growth room temperature, Autoclave, rooting media, Nursery, Plant grades

ABSTRACT

Tissue culture is a technique for immunization and separation of tissues in manufactured medium under *in vitro* condition. It is a gathering of test strategies by utilizing organs, tissues and cell in a simulated medium under *in vitro* aseptic environment. Banana is real natural product crop in India as Maharashtra stands first in banana creation and efficiency in India. By utilizing miniaturized scale spread system these plants are refined in labs and this technique is a vegetative proliferation. Ordinarily banana is developed by utilizing suckers. In agriculturist's thought, tissue refined plants are getting to be mainstream. This strategy produces infection free plant stocks and is spread by meristem tip culture. In meristem tip culture there is a partition of undifferentiated plant cells which are not valuable cells from shoot tips. Steps required in meristem tip society are: selection of explants, readiness and disinfection of explant, preparation and cleansing of society media, inoculation of explant, hatching of explant in development rooms with looked after temperatures, sub refined of explants, hardening and so on. Banana is imperative organic product crop with 97.5 million tons of generation everywhere throughout the world. In India as it backings work of a great many individuals. Banana development possesses around 20% region among the aggregate region under yield in India. The vast majority of banana yields are developed by planting suckers.

INTRODUCTION

In India banana yield is being developed in atmosphere running from damp tropical to dry mellow subtropics through choice of fitting assortments like Grandnaine [1-25]. Banana is fundamentally a tropical product, develops well in temperature scope of 13°C to 38°C with RH administration of 75% to 85%. Higher temperature causes sun searing. High speed wind which surpasses 80 Km/hr will harm the yield. Soil nature for banana ought to have great dampness, waste and satisfactory fruitfulness. In India banana is developed under creation frameworks and assorted conditions.

e-ISSN:2320-0189
p-ISSN:2347-2308
Research and Reviews: Journal of Botanical Sciences

Choice of assortments along these lines depends on a substantial number of assortments taking into account different sorts of circumstances and necessities. Distinctive assortments in banana are Basarai, Grandnaine, Nyali, Karthali, Karpurvalli, Rasthali, Ardhapuri, Safed Velchi, Red banana, Dwarf Cavendish, Poovan, Robusta, Nendran, Monthan and so forth. Grandnaine is increasing more prominence than different assortments and may soon be the most favored assortment because of its resilience ability to biotic burdens and great quality bundles [26-40].

METHODOLOGY

Explant Selection

Shoot tips of youthful suckers ought to be of 40 cm to 100 cm stature are utilized as an explants for fast *in vitro* duplication of banana. For these shoots, tissue of around 1 cubic cm to 2 cubic cm containing the apical meristem is isolated from the banana suckers. The development of shoot societies will begin routinely from any plant part that contains a shoot meristem that is the horizontal, little suckers, parental pseudo stem and peepers [41-60]. The ideal size of the explants relies on upon the reason. For the increase a generally bigger explants (3 mm to 11 mm) is alluring and its higher defenselessness to darkening and pollution. The explants are then further decreases in size (0.5 mm to 1 mm length), leaving a meristematic vault with maybe a couple leaf initials. Whenever microbes or infection end is required, meristem tip society is the main favored alternative. Meristem societies have the impediment that they may have an underlying slower development and a higher death rate.

Sterilization of Explant

Into the lab the readied suckers are taken for further process. To expel or kill parasitic spores and growth, suckers are absorbed Bavistin for 18 hours. Later they are initially washed in running water. Next they are again plunged into water containing cleanser (teepol) for 60 minutes. After this procedure they are washed under faucet water. The explants that are isolated from suckers are further handled in a surface disinfected Laminar Flow chamber. In LAF chamber these explants are treated with 0.1% focus mercuric chloride for 2 min and after that washed 3 times with refined water [61-70].

Tissue Culture Medium Preparation

MS media is utilized for smaller scale engendering of banana. To begin with society medium is disinfected by utilizing autoclaving at 121°C for 30 minutes. Sucrose sugar @ 30 to 40 gm/liter as carbon source is added to media with gelling specialist agar @ 5 gm to 8 gm/liter for giving semi-strong nature to the media. Auxins and cytokinins are included to medium which chooses morphogenesis and development of the tissue explanted on society medium. Media is poured in a glass container where suckers are started. Their proportion and focus decides the development and morphogenesis of the banana tissue. Banana tissue societies frequently experience the ill effects of inordinate darkening of tissue brought on by oxidation of polyphenolic mixes discharged from injured tissues. These undesirable exudates of the explant structure a boundary around the tissue, averting supplement uptake and preventing development and prompts passing of the sucker. In this manner, amid the initial 4 to 6 weeks, the new shoot-tips are exchanged to new medium for each 1 to 2 weeks. On the other hand, the crisply started societies can be kept in under complete murkiness for one week. Cell reinforcements, for example, citrus extract or ascorbic corrosive in

focuses running from 10 mg/l to 140 mg/l, are added to the development medium to diminish darkening of the sucker, or the explants are plunged in cancer prevention agent arrangement (cysteine 50 mg/l) before they move into the way of life medium [71-80].

Sucker Sterilization in LAF Chamber

In LAF chamber the suckers are initially cleaned altogether utilizing mercury chloride. There are two unique fixations, which are utilized for cleansing reason. At first the suckers are disinfected utilizing 0.12% of $HgCl_2$. At that point suckers are put in a container containing $HgCl_2$ arrangement and shake well for 2 min. After that $HgCl_2$ arrangement is expelled and the suckers ought to be washed utilizing refined water or autoclaved water. Refined water is added to the jugs containing suckers and ought to be shake for 1 min. At that point the water is expelled and again new refined water is added to the container and must shake for another 1 min. Expel the water and again make the same system taking after timings 2 min, 3 min, 5 min and 12 min. A layer of the sucker is expelled precisely after first sanitization. Again the suckers are sanitized utilizing 0.1% $HgCl_2$ for 5 min. After that again they are washed with refined water by keeping up the time contrasts as clarified previously. Another layer is evacuated painstakingly after the washing procedure with refined water and now the suckers are prepared for immunization [81-90].

Incubation at Growth Room

After effective vaccination of sanitized explant or sucker on aseptic society medium it is exchanged to development room. Society containers are brooded at 28 °C (+/- 2) and presented to light for 12 to16 hours and light force changed in accordance with 60 µE/m^2. For the development of explant, aseptic conditions are kept up inside the development room. Cool will work all an ideal opportunity to give required temperature and it ought to give clean tidy free environment. Following 2 weeks the suckers will get to be greenish in shading which demonstrates the development of sucker for proliferation. The shoots are cut at the base isolated and set in a crisp medium. Following a week various shoots emerge from the vaccinated shoot. The sub refined is done in view of the required measure of plants required. The shoots ought to be checked each day for tainting and the shoots which are polluted either exchanged to a new medium or disposed of in view of the sort and measure of the sullying in the container. In the interim an arrangement of well-developed solid shoots is taken for establishing. Well-developed sound shoots are done in jug containing charcoal medium. For establishing IAA is utilized as development controller and the medium without hormone gives great results. It will take around 2 weeks for establishing and crisp roots will emerge at the base of the shoot.

Hardening

These tissue refined banana plantlets are exchanged from the research center to green house or nursery and from that point to outside for solidifying. They have all around separated roots and shoots and gets supplements from counterfeit development medium. The tissue refined container tops are opened for few days before moving to nurseries to help the seedlings for *in vitro* acclimatization. In the wake of expelling banana plantlets from the holders, the plant roots contain agar gel are delicately washed in water. Tissue refined plantlets with all around created pulls gets to be prepared for planting into preparing media in a nursery. Under halfway shade, pre-solidified plants ought to be immediately arranged and exchanged for the nursery. Polyethylene packs or plastic pots can be utilized as

nursery holders. Poly-packs are favored for their light weight. Preparing blend which contains 2 sections of developing media blend, 1 section perlite and 3 sections vermiculite sand is favored in nursery for developing the banana plants. Moderate discharge manures or fluid composts are added to supply supplements to plantlets. Fluid manures ought to be connected for various times. Banana plants are permitted to adjust in nursery for 2 to 3 months with plant tallness up to 20 cm, before they inspire prepared to transplant in the field. Youthful plants deliver another leaf around like clockwork amid their initial advancement. Incubation and adjustment times of seedlings relies on upon supplement status of the dirt and kind of cultivar and it ranges from 9 to 10 months subsequent to transplanting [91-95].

Advantages of Tissue Culture

Suckers for the most part would have been as of now tainted with a few pathogens and nematodes so they can be treated with anti-infection agents before refined, To defeat variety in size of sucker and age, reaping is drawn out and administration gets to be troublesome, they are sound, sickness free, uniform and credible, true to the kind of mother plant under well administration, pests and malady free plantlet seedlings, expands yield, uniform development, early development of product-greatest area use is conceivable in marsh holding nation like India, two progressive ratoons are conceivable in a brief length which minimizes expense of development, round the year planting conceivable as seedlings are made accessible consistently, new assortments can be presented and increased in a brief span, no stunned collecting, market arranged planting of tissue society banana plants gives better cost, 95% to 98% plants bear bundles [96-100].

REFERENCES

1. Adkins SW, et al. Somaclonal variation in rice-drought tolerance and other agronomic characters. Aust J Bot. 1995;43:201-209.
2. Ahloowalia BS. Regeneration of ryegrass plants in tissue culture. Crop Sci. 1975;15:449-452.
3. Ahloowalia BS. Chromosomal changes in parasexually produced ryegrass. In: Jones K and Brandham P (Eds.), Current Chromosome Research,115-122. North Holland, Amsterdam; 1976.
4. Ahloowalia BS. Spectrum of variation in somaclones of triploid ryegrass. Crop Sci. 1983;23:1141-1147.
5. Ahloowalia BS. Limitations to the use of somaclonal variation in crop improvement. In: Semal J (Ed.), Somaclonal Variation and Crop Improvement, pp. 14-27. Martinus Nijhoff, Boston; 1986.
6. Ahloowalia BS. Transmission of somaclonal variation in wheat. Euphytica. 1985;34:525-537.
7. Arihara A, et al. White Baron-a non-browning somaclonal variant of Danshakuimo (Irish Cobbler). Amer Potato J. 1995;72:701-705.
8. Banks PM, et al. The use of cell culture for sub-chromosomal introgressions of barley yellow dwarf virus resistance from Thinopyrum intermedium to wheat. Genome. 1995;38:395-405.
9. Barakat MN and Abdel-Latif TH. In vitro selection of wheat callus tolerant to high levels of salt and plant regeneration. Euphytica. 1996;91:127-140.

10. Battistini C and Rosati P. In vitro evaluation of somaclonal strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa ('Brighton') variants for susceptibility to Phytophthora cactorum. In: Dale A and Lubby WW (Eds.), The Strawberry into the 21st Century, pp. 121-123. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon; 1991.
11. Bayliss MW. Chromosomal variation in tissue culture. Intern Rev Cytol Supple. 1980;11A:113-144.
12. Benzion G and Phillips RL. Cytogenetic stability of maize tissue cultures. A cell line pedigree analysis. Genome. 1988;30:318-325.
13. Bertin P, et al. Somaclonal variation and improvement of chilling tolerance in rice-changes in chilling-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. Crop Sci. 1997;37:1727-1735.
14. Boscherini G, et al. Characterization of salt tolerant plants derived from a Lycopersicon esculentum Mill somaclone. J Plant Physiol. 1999;155:613-619.
15. Bouman H and de Klerk GJ. Somaclonal variation in biotechnology of ornamental plants. In: Geneve R, et al. (Eds.), Biotechnology of Ornamental Plants, pp. 165-183. CAB International; 1996.
16. Bozorgipour R and Snape JW. An assessment of somaclonal variation as a breeding tool for generating herbicide tolerant genotypes in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Euphytica. 1997;94:335-340.
17. Brans AJ and Bridgen MP. 'UconnWhite'. A white flowered Torenia fournieri. HortSci. 1989;24:714-715.
18. Brar DS and Jain SM. Somaclonal variation: mechanism and applications in crop improvement. In: Jain SM, et al. (Eds.), Somaclonal Variation and Induced Mutations in Crop Improvement, pp. 15-37, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht; 1998.
19. Bressan RA, et al. Stable and unstable tolerance to NaCl in cultured tobacco cells. In: Freeling M (Ed.), Plant Genetics, pp. 755-769. Liss, New York; 1985.
20. Bressan RA, et al. Stability of altered genetic expression in cultured plant cells adapted to salt. In: Monti L and Porceddu E (Eds.), Drought Resistance in Plants, pp. 41-57. Commission of the European Communities, Brussels; 1987.
21. Brewer EP, et al. Somatic hybridization between the zinc accumulator Thlaspi caerulescens and Brassica napus. Theor Appl Genet. 1999;99:761-771.
22. Chaleff RS and Ray TB. Herbicide resistant mutants from tobacco cell cultures. Science. 1984;223:1148-1151.
23. Chakrabarti A, et al. Molecular characterisation of low ODAP somaclones of Lathyrus sativus. J Plant Biochem Biotech. 1999;8:25-29.
24. Creissen SS and Karp A. Karyotypic changes in potato plants regenerated from protoplasts. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult. 1985;4:171-182.
25. Critinzio G and Testa A. In vitro evaluation of resistance of potato cultivar to Phytophthora infestans. Potato Res. 1999;42:101-105.
26. Croughan S, et al. Registration of Brazos-Rs bermudagrass germplasm. Crop Sci. 1994;34:542.
27. Davies PA, et al. Somaclonal variation in wheat: Genetic and cytogenetic characterization of alcohol dehydrogenase 1 mutants. Theor Appl Genet. 1986;72:644-653.
28. DeVerno LL, et al. Somaclonal variation in cryopreserved embryogenic clones of white spruce (Picea glauca (Moench) Voss.). Plant Cell Repts. 1999;18:948-953.

29. Diawara MM, et al. Potential of somaclonal celeries for use in integrated pest management. J Economic Entomology. 1996;89:218-223.
30. Dörffling K, et al. In vitro selection and regeneration of hydroxyproline-resistant lines of winter wheat with increased proline content and increased frost tolerance. J Plant Physiol. 1993;142:222-225.
31. Dornelles ALC, et al. Somaclonal variation in aluminium tolerance and gibberellic acid sensibility in wheat. Pesquisa Agro Brasileira. 1997;32:193-200.
32. Dugdale LJ, et al. Leaf blight resistance in carrot somaclones. Acta Hort. 1993;336:399-404.
33. Duncan RR. Tissue culture-induced variation and crop improvement. Adv Agron. 1997;58:201-240.
34. Engelborghs I, et al. The potential of AFLP to detect genetic differences and somaclonal variants in Musa sp. Info Musa. 1999;7:2-6.
35. Escorial MC, et al. In vitro culture selection increases glyphosate tolerance in barley. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult. 1996;46:179-186.
36. Evans DA. Somaclonal variation-genetic basis and breeding applications. Trends Genet. 1989;5:346-50.
37. Evans DA and Sharp WR. Single gene mutations in tomato plants regenerated from tissue culture. Science. 1983;221:949-951.
38. Evans DA, et al. Somaclonal variation and gametoclonal variation. Amer J Bot. 1984;71:759-774.
39. Fourre JL, et al. Somatic embryogenesis and somaclonal variation in Norway spruce: morphogenetic, cytogenetic and molecular approaches. Theor Appl Genet. 1997;94:159-169.
40. Gaj MD, et al. Selection of valineresistance in callus culture of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. derived from leaf explants. Acta Soc Bot Poloniae. 1999;68:211-215.
41. Gao MW, et al. Generating pattern and characterestics of wheat somaclonal variation in the first generation. In: Chen Y, et al. (Eds.), Plant Somaclonal Variation and Breeding, pp. 50-59. Jiangsu Sci and Tech Pub House; 1991.
42. Gavazzi G, et al. Somaclonal variation versus chemically induced mutagenesis in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). Theor Appl Genet. 1987;74:733-738.
43. Goral T and Arseniuk E. Somaclonal variation in winter triticale for resistance to Fusarium head blight. Cereal Res Commun. 1997;25:741-742.
44. Griesbach RJ. Selection of a dwarf Hemerocallis through tissue culture. HortSci. 1989;24:1027-1028.
45. Groose RW and Binghamn ET. An unstable anthocyanin mutation recovered from tissue culture of alflafa. 1. High frequency of reversion upon reculture. 2. Stable non revertants derived from reculture. Plant Cell Rep. 1986;5:104-110.
46. Gu MG, et al. Cytogenetic study of regenerated plants and their progenies from maize tissue culture. In: Chen Y, et al. (Eds.), Plant Somaclonal Variation and Breeding, pp. 166-175. Jiangsu Sci and Tech. Pub. House; 1991.
47. Gupta PK. Chromosomal basis of somaclonal variation in plants. In: Jain SM, et al. (Eds.), Somaclonal Variation and Induced Mutations in Crop Improvement, pp. 149-168. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht; 1998.
48. Hall HK, et al. Germplasm release of 'Lincoln logan' a tissue culture-derived genetic thornless 'loganberry'. Fruit Var J. 1986;40:134-135.

49. Hammerschlag FA. Resistant responses of peach somaclone 122-1 to Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni and to Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae. HortSci. 2000;35:141-143.
50. Hashmi G, et al. RAPD analysis of somaclonal variants derived from embryo callus cultures of peach. Theor Appl Genet. 1997;16:624-627.
51. Tesfa M, et al. Ex Vitro Rooting of Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) Plantlets derived from tissue culture. Adv Crop Sci Tech. 2016;4:215.
52. Ibrahim M, et al. Evaluation of Tissue Culture Raised Sugarcane Planting Materials against their Donor Conventional Seed Sources as Initial Source of Seed Cane at Tendaho Sugar Development Project, North-Eastern Ethiopia. J Hortic. 2016;3:168.
53. Salma Chowdhury DU, et al. Protective Effect of Spirodela polyrhiza on Various Organs of Arsenicinduced Wistar Albino Rats. J Cytol Histol. 2016;7:410.
54. Karthikeyan M, et al. In-vivo Animal Models and In-vitro Techniques for Screening Antidiabetic Activity. J Develop Drugs. 2016;5:153.
55. Rehman HU and Gill MIS. Micrografting of Fruit Crops-A Review. J Horticulture. 2015;2:151.
56. Ugandhar T, et al. Rapid in vitro Micro Propagation of Chick pea (Cicer arietinum L.) From Shoot tip and Cotyledonary node explants. J Biotechnol Biomater. 2012;2:148.
57. Sopie Salomé YE, et al. Comparison of Pineapple Fruit Characteristics of Plants Propagated in Three Different Ways: By Suckers, Micropropagation and Somatic Embryogenesis. J Nutr Food Sci. 2011;1:110.
58. Tejesvi M, et al. MB1533 is a Defensin-Like Antimicrobial Peptide from the Intracellular Meristem Endophyte of Scots Pine Methylobacterium extorquens DSM13060. J Microb Biochem Technol. 2015;8:445-449.
59. Alariqi SAS, et al. Effect of Different Sterilization Methods on Biodegradation of Biomedical Polypropylene. J Environ Anal Toxicol. 2016;6:373.
60. Koley S and Mahapatra SS. Evaluation of Culture Media for Growth Characteristics of Alternaria solani, Causing Early Blight of Tomato. J Plant Pathol Microbiol. 2015;S1:005.
61. Arzumanian V, et al. Communities of Skin Propionic Bacteria: Cultivation and Antifungal Antagonistic Activity. J Bacteriol Parasitol. 2016;7:266.
62. Shahbazi A and Nasab BR. Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) and its Impacts on Climate Change and Global Warming. J Pet Environ Biotechnol. 2016;7:291.
63. Mansoor S, et al. Screening of Sugarcane Varieties/Lines against Whip Smut Disease in Relation to Epidemiological Factors. J Plant Pathol Microbiol. 2016;7:366.
64. Israt AH and Liaquat A. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and its association with Insulin Resistance: A Study from Bangladeshi Newly Diagnosed Impaired Glucose Tolerance Subjects. J Diabetes Metab. 2016;7:688.
65. Singh R, et al. De-oiled Cakes of Neem, Jatropha, Mahua and Karanja: A New Substrate for Mass Multiplication of T. harzianum. J Plant Pathol Microb. 2015;6:288.
66. Gambhir G and Srivastava DK. Thidiazuron Induces High Frequency Shoot Regeneration in Leaf and Petiole Explants of Cabbage (Brassica Oleracea L. Var. Capitata). J Biotechnol Biomater. 2015;5:172.
67. Kalédjé PSK, et al. The Catchment Area of Kadey in East-Cameroon: Assessment of Arsenic Contamination in Deep Groundwater Resources. J Geol Geophys. 2016;5:250.

68. Khalid A and Javaid MA. Fibroblast Growth Factors and their Emerging Cancer-Related Aspects. J Cancer Sci Ther. 2016;8:190-205.
69. Imaizumi Y and Hayakawa K. Perinatal Mortality Rates and Risk Factors for Mortality among Zygotic Twins and Singletons in Japan, 1995-2008. J Neonatal Biol. 2015;4:188.
70. Rustamov NK and Abbasova GG. Determination of Manganese in Tap Water by a New Extraction-photometric Method. J Environ Anal Toxicol. 2014;4:205.
71. Akram M and Aftab F. Effect of Cytokinins on In vitro seed Germination and Changes in Chlorophyll and Soluble Protein Contents of Teak (Tectona grandis L.). Biochem Physiol. 2015;4:166.
72. Rasmussen CA, et al. Morphogenesis of Biologically Active Interfollicular Epidermis from Human Embryonic Stem Cell-derived Keratinocytes. J Stem Cell Res Ther. 2014;4:163.
73. Yadav RK and Srivastava SK. Effect of Arsenite and Arsenate on Lipid Peroxidation, Enzymatic and Non-Enzymatic Antioxidants in Zea mays Linn. Biochem Physiol. 2015;4:186.
74. Beebe LFS, et al. Development of an Improved Porcine Embryo Culture Medium for Cloning, Transgenesis and Embryonic Stem Cell Isolation. Clon Transgen. 2013;2:107.
75. Shintani H. Considering an Important Point When Handling Gas Plasma Sterilization. Pharmaceut Reg Affairs. 2015;4:e155.
76. Ali OS, et al. Haematological and Histopathological Vicissitudes Following Oral Inoculation of Graded Doses of Pasteurella multocida Type B: 2 and its Lipopolysaccharide in Mice. J Veterinar Sci Technol. 2015;6:220.
77. Azam K, et al. Evaluation of Relationship between light Intensity (lux) and Growth of Chaetoceros muelleri. Oceanography. 2013;1:111.
78. Akl MA, et al. Enhanced Removal of Some Cationic Dyes from Environmental Samples Using Sulphuric Acid Modified Pistachio Shells Derived Activated Carbon. J Chromatogr Sep Tech. 2015;7:329.
79. Gebretsadik W. Nursery Propagation and Field Establishment Evaluation of Pistacia chinensis under Two Ecologies in Ethiopia. J Hortic. 2016;3:171.
80. Tolera B. Effects of Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA) and Indole -3- Butyric Acid (IBA) on In Vitro Rooting of Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) Micro-Shoots. J Biotechnol Biomater. 2016;6:215.
81. Nath K, et al. Effective Approaches of Potential Bioagent, Phytoextract, Fungicide and Cultural Practice for Management of Banana Fruit Rsot Disease. J Plant Pathol Microb. 2014;5:246.
82. Ana BB, et al. Evidences of Manure Driven and C: N Regulated Enhanced Carbon Status and Microalgal Productivity in Managed Aquatic System under Simulated Green House Conditions. J Earth Sci Clim Change. 2016;7:336.
83. Simmons HE, et al. Frequencies of Seed Infection and Transmission to Seedlings by Potato Spindle Tuber Viroid (A Pospiviroid) in Tomato. J Plant Pathol Microb. 2015;6:275.
84. Shimelis D, et al. Effects of Polyvinyl Pyrrolidone and Activated Charcoal to Control Effect of Phenolic Oxidation on In Vitro Culture Establishment Stage of Micropropagation of Sugarcane (Saccharum Officinarum L). Adv Crop Sci Tech. 2015;3:184.
85. Montoya-Gonzalez AH, et al. Isolation of Trichoderma Spp. from Desert Soil, Biocontrol Potential Evaluation and Liquid Culture Production of Conidia Using Agricultural Fertilizers. J Fertil Pestic. 2016;7:163.

86. Chichiriccò G, et al. Nutrients and Heavy Metals in Flowers and Corms of the Saffron Crocus (Crocus sativus L.). Med Aromat Plants. 2016;5:254.
87. Ohta H, et al. Salvaged Surgery of Non-fusion Stabilization for the Adjacent Segmental Diseases after Posterior Spinal Fusion. J Spine. 2016;5:277.
88. Mamunur Rahman M, et al. Transplanting by Uprooting Tillers from Dibbled Field: An Idea for Crop Intensification and Sustainable Rice Cultivation. J Rice Res. 2013;1:109.
89. Karlton-Senaye B, et al. Interaction of Gums and Antimicrobial Agents on Susceptibility of Selected Foodborne Pathogens. J Antimicro. 2016;2:121.
90. Boamah VE, et al. Antibiotic Practices and Factors Influencing the Use of Antibiotics in Selected Poultry Farms in Ghana. J Antimicro. 2016;2:120.
91. Kour R and Charif A. Piezoelectric Roads: Energy Harvesting Method Using Piezoelectric Technology. Innov Ener Res. 2016;5:132.
92. Massie CL, et al. Repetitive Motor Practice Impacts Neuromuscular System Plasticity in Healthy and Stroke Populations. Int J Neurorehabilitation. 2016;3:221.
93. Tantiworawit A, et al. High Induction Response Rate, but Poor Long-Term Disease Free Survival in Elderly Patients Treated Aggressively for Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. J Leuk (Los Angel). 2013;2:163.
94. Kotikal YK and Math M. Insect and Non-Insect Pests Associated with Drumstick, Moringa oleifera (Lamk.). Entomol Ornithol Herpetol. 2016;5:180.
95. Wolde T, et al. Trait Associations in Some Durum Wheat (Triticum durum L.) Accessions among Yield and Yield related Traits at Kulumsa, South Eastern Ethiopia. Adv Crop Sci Tech. 2016;4:234.
96. Hong JW, et al. Mass Cultivation from a Korean Raceway Pond System of Indigenous Microalgae as Potential Biofuel Feedstock. Oil Gas Res. 2016;2:108.
97. Agrawal A and Sope A. Harvesting Free Palatal Masticatory Mucosal Graft Using 3a Mucotome. J Interdiscipl Med Dent Sci. 2016;4:197.
98. Ullah MR, et al. Agency Problems, Product Market Competition and Dividend Policies in Pakistan. Arabian J Bus Manag Review. 2016;S2:006.
99. Chung TF and Ariff M. Banking Liquidity and Stock Market Prices in ASEAN-3 Economies. J Bus Fin Aff. 2016;5:190.
100. Dube SK, et al. Propofol Requirement during Propofol and Butorphanol Anesthesia with and without Nitrous Oxide in Short Duration Intracranial Surgeries: A Bispectral Index Guided Study. J Anesth Clin Res. 2012;3:238.