

## A Comparative Study of the Effectiveness of Metal Surface Treatment in Controlling Microleakage of Two Different Metal and Acrylic Resin Interface.

Kiran Kumar KS<sup>1</sup>, Ananda SR<sup>2\*</sup>, Ramesh K Nadiger<sup>3</sup>, and NP Patil<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Prosthodontics, Malabar Dental College and Research Centre, Mudur, Edappal – 679578, India.<sup>2</sup>Department of Community Dentistry, Malabar Dental College and Research Centre, Mudur, Edappal – 679578, India.<sup>3</sup>Department of Prosthodontics, SDM College of Dental Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.

## Research Article

Received: 26/03/2013

Revised: 05/04/2013

Accepted: 17/04/2013

**\*For Correspondence:**

Department of Community Dentistry,  
Malabar Dental College & Research  
Centre, Mudur (po), Edappal –  
679578, India

Mobile: + 91 9886348717

**Keywords:** Nickel–Chromium, Cobalt–  
Chromium; Heat Cure Acrylic Resin;  
Surface treatment, Silane coupling  
agent and Tin plating.

**ABSTRACT**

Microleakage at the junction between the metal alloy and acrylic resin in a removable partial denture may result in discoloration, fluid percolation, and acrylic resin deterioration and Failure of a removable partial denture. Dye penetration test was conducted on eighty specimens each of Nickel–Chromium/Cobalt–Chromium metal–Heat cure acrylic resin. Samples were randomly divided into eight groups (n=10) & adapted with base plate wax and they were invested. Groups were Sandblasted, Tin plated and silane coated individually and in their various combinations and were then packed with clear heat cure acrylic resin and processed over the surface treated side of the metal. All specimens were immersed in distilled water for 24 hours followed by thermocycling for 3000 cycles before immersion in dye for 24hrs. Counting of the grids which exhibited dye penetration allowed the assessment of extent of microleakage. The mean values of the grids that exhibited dye penetration in this group for Nickel–Chromium and Cobalt–Chromium alloys are  $3.3000 \pm 2.584$  and  $3.6000 \pm 2.6331$ . microleakage values for both the metals were different for different combinations.

**INTRODUCTION**

Removable partial denture is subjected to variation in temperature in the oral cavity which can lead to microleakage and cause weakening of the interface between the resin and metal, finally lead to deterioration of the contact between the metal and acrylic resin [1]. A stable contact should exist between the resin–metal to prevent microleakage between the interfaces. Microleakage is caused because of absence chemical bonding and difference in coefficient of thermal expansion between metal and resin [2].

Earlier frame work designs needed certain elements to provide mechanical retention between the resin and the metal. Despite the incorporation of various mechanical retentive elements, functional forces often result in the failure of the acrylic resin at its junction with framework [3]. The absence of chemical bond directly affects the metal–resin interface as a space exists between the metal framework and the resin denture base microscopically.

Various bonding methods and techniques for base metal alloys have been developed to enhance the bond between metal–resin interfaces, such as Electrolytic etching, Sand blasting, Electroplating and Chemical bonding of resin to dental alloys E.g. Silane coupling agent [4]. Therefore this study was under taken to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of Sandblasting, Tin coating, Silination and various combinations of these processes in controlling microleakage between polymethyl methacrylate resin and Nickel–Chromium and Cobalt–Chromium alloy after thermocycling.

**Objectives of the Study**

- To evaluate and compare the effect of only Sandblasting, Tin plating and Silane primer individually on controlling microleakage between heat–cure acrylic resin to cast Nickel–Chromium and Cobalt–Chromium alloy after water immersion and thermocycling.
- To evaluate and compare the effect of combining Sandblasting and Tin coating, Sandblasting and Silane primer, Tin coating and Silane primer on controlling microleakage between heat–cure acrylic resin to cast Nickel–Chromium and Cobalt–Chromium alloy after water immersion and thermocycling.

- To evaluate and compare the effect of combining Sandblasting, Tin plating and Silane primer on controlling microleakage between heat-cure acrylic resin to cast Nickel-Chromium and Cobalt-Chromium alloy after water immersion and thermocycling.

## METHODOLOGY

Present study was conducted in the department of Prosthodontics, SDM Dental College & Hospital Dharwar Karnataka. Dye penetration test was planned on each samples of nickel-chromium-heat cure acrylic resin and cobalt-chromium-heat cured acrylic resin which are subject to different surface treatment.

### Specimen Fabrication

Rectangular specimens of dimension 15x6x1mm with grid pattern were cast of Nickel-Chromium and Cobalt-Chromium alloy. Eighty eight wax patterns each for Nickel-Chromium and Cobalt-Chromium alloy were prepared using inlay casting wax (Schuller-Dental U LM- W. Germany). Fabric mesh was then attached on to these patterns to get the grid pattern on the cast specimens (Colour plate-1). Ten rectangular wax patterns were attached at a time to the runner bar. It was then fixed to a 3x size crucible former (Gusskuvetten, Deugu Dent, Germany), and the wax patterns were sprayed with a surfactant liquid to reduce the surface tension (Aurofilm, Bego, Germany) and blow dried.

The 3x casting ring (Gusskuvetten, Deugu Dent, Germany) was lined with an asbestos free liner (Kera Vlies, Dentaurm, Germany) such that it was 4mm short at either ends of the ring (Colour plate-3). The casting ring with the moistened liner was attached to a Crucible former and the wax patterns were vacuum invested (Multivac 4-Degussa, Germany) using 120gms of phosphate bonded Investment material (Bellasun, Bego, Germany) and 35ml mixing liquid (Begosol, Bego, Germany) as per manufacturer's instructions. After setting of the investment, the crucible former was separated and the ring was placed inside the Wax burnout furnace (Type 5640- KAVO EWL, Germany).

The invested casting ring was heated in this furnace according to the following schedule - (1) 55° C/ min increase in temperature until it reached 150° C, with a 90min dwell (holding time); (2) 5°C/min until it reached 250°C, with a 90min dwell (holding time); (3) 5°C/min until it reached 950°C, with a 60- min dwell time. After reaching the maximum temperature of 950°C the specimens were casted immediately in a centrifugal casting machine (Colour plate-6) (Degutron, Degussa, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions. After casting, the rings were allowed to cool down to room temperature and were devested from the investment. The casting were sandblasted with aluminum oxide powder to remove the investment material and the specimens with the individual sprue at the attachment to the runner bar, were separated using carborundum discs (Dentorium, New York, U.S.A). The samples were then trimmed and polished.

Modelling wax of dimension 15x6x1mm, (Hindustan Dental Products, India) was adapted to all the specimens. The specimens with the wax patterns were invested in conventional denture flasks (Nikhil, India) with dental plaster (kalabhai, India). Eight specimens were invested in each flask (Colour plate-10). Likewise all the samples were invested and dewaxing was done. The samples were then numbered and carefully retrieved from the flask.

### Surface treatment of the specimens

Before packing the samples with heat cured clear acrylic, the samples of nickel-chromium and cobalt-chromium alloy were randomly divided into eight groups and labeled based on the type of surface treatment they received. Each group had ten samples. Different combinations of the following surface treatments were carried out on each group.

### Sandblasting procedure

Samples were abraded with aluminum oxide (110µm) using a Sandblasting unit (Colour plate-11) (type 5417- KAVO EWL Germany), at 4-bar pressure. During sandblasting, the distance between the nozzle tip and the specimen surfaces was maintained at 2cm and perpendicular to the tip, Sandblasting was carried out for 15 seconds. These samples were then ultrasonically cleaned in Soniclean ultrasonic cleaner (80 T, Transtek systems, Australia) and air dried to ensure the removal of all residual particles and contaminants.

### Silane coupling agent

On the clean surface of the specimens a thin layer of silane coupling agent (Calibra, Dentsply) was applied to the surface of each of the titanium specimens with the brush following the manufacturer's instructions and allowed to air dry.

**Tin electroplating**

The lead from the tin-plating unit was attached to the samples. A cotton pellet saturated with tin-plating solution was moved over the sample in a brushing motion to get a uniform thickness of tin on the sample. The samples were then rinsed in distilled water and dried under compressed air.

- Group I:** Sandblasting
- Group II:** Tin coating
- Group III:** Silane coupling agent
- Group IV:** Tin coating and Sandblasting
- Group V:** Sandblasting and Silane coupling agent
- Group VI:** Tin coating and Silane coupling agent
- Group VII:** Tin coating, sandblasting and silane coupling agent
- Group VIII:** No surface treatment was carried-control

**Heat Cure Acrylic Resin application**

After the samples were surface treated according to above mentioned procedure, they were returned to the original place in the flask with care to avoid contamination of the treated surface. Heat cure acrylic resin (Trevalon, Dentsply, India) was mixed, packed and processed according to manufacturer’s instructions. On recovery of the specimens, the edges were refined to expose the acrylic-metal interface and cleaned in ultrasonic cleaner (Soniclean 80T, Transtek). The samples were then stored in separate labeled box in distilled water for 48hrs before subjecting the samples for thermocycling.

**Thermocycling**

The specimens were wrapped in a sterile gauge piece and placed in a beaker with ice cubes which was maintained at a temperature of 5°C ± 3°C for one minute and immediately the specimens were placed in water of 37°C for one minute, followed by water maintained at 60°C for one minute. This procedure was repeated for 3000 cycles.

**Dye penetration test**

The thermocycled specimens were then immersed in fluorescense dye (100mg/1000mL) for 24hrs (Colour plate-16). The samples were then cleaned in an ultrasonic cleaner to remove any surface stain. The dye penetration from the metal-acrylic interface was assessed under magnification (8x) using a Speckfinder (Speckz18-250, Dazor, U.S.A) (Colour plate-17). The penetrated dye could be easily visualized through the clear acrylic resin. The grids that showed evidence of dye penetration was recorded as positive (Colour plate-18, 19). The total number of grids containing the dye was recorded as the basic data (Annexure 1&2)

The number of grids showing the presence of dye penetration in specimen from each group of surface treatment was subjected to statistical analysis by ANOVA to compare the means and to test for interaction between each group; the values were subjected to Newman-Keuls post hoc multiple comparative test and student-t test.

**RESULTS**

The Table-I shows the mean and standard deviation of microleakage in Nickel- Chromium alloy for different groups. Group V showed the least microleakage at 3.3000±2.5841. On comparison of groups by ANOVA, eight groups showed a significant difference in the level microleakage between Nickel-Chromium and heat cure resin interface (18.4349) at 1% level of significance (Table II).

**Table I: Mean and Standard deviation values for microleakage in different groups of heat cure acrylic resin-nickel-chromium interface after different surface treatment. (n=10)**

GROUPS	MEANS	S.D	C.V	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
I	6.3000	2.4060	38.1907	2	10
II	8.9000	4.2282	47.5080	2	16
III	6.4000	3.0623	47.8487	2	13
IV	10.8000	3.0840	28.5556	7	16
V	3.3000	2.5841	78.3073	0	8
VI	10.9000	2.7264	25.0130	7	15
VII	12.6000	3.0258	24.0144	7	17
VIII	17.3000	4.0565	23.4482	10	24

**Table II: Comparison of groups by ANOVA for microleakage in heat cure acrylic resin– Nickel–chromium interface after different surface treatment.**

Source of variation	DF	SS	MSS	F-value	P-value	Signi.
Between Groups	7	1327.1875	189.5982	18.4349	0.0000*	HS
Within Groups	72	740.5000	10.2847			
Total	79	2067.6875				

\*Significant at 1% level of significance (p<0.01)

The Table-III show the mean and standard deviation of microleakage in Cobalt– Chromium alloy for different groups. Group V showed least microleakage as 3.6000±2.6331. On comparison of groups by ANOVA, eight groups showed a significant difference in the level microleakage between Nickel–Chromium and heat cure resin interface (19.5474) at 1% level of significance (Table IV).

**Table III: Newman–Keuls post hoc multiple comparative test for microleakage in different groups of Heat cure acrylic resin–Nickel–Chromium interface after different surface treatment.**

Group	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Means	6.3000	8.9000	6.4000	10.8000	3.3000	10.9000	12.6000	17.3000
I								
II	0.1727							
III	0.9447	0.0857						
IV	0.0130**	0.1895	0.0285					
V	0.0401**	0.0013*	0.0185**	0.0001*				
VI	0.0167**	0.3492	0.0130**	0.9447	0.0001*			
VII	0.0006*	0.0566	0.0006*	0.4252	0.0001*	0.2399		
VIII	0.0001*	0.0001*	0.0001*	0.0003*	0.0001*	0.0002*	0.0017*	

\*Significant at 1% level of significance (p<0.01)

\*\*Significant at 5% level of significance (p<0.05)

To know the significant difference for different surface treatment, analyses were done by the Newman–Keuls Post Hoc Multiple Comparative tests individually for both Nickel– Chromium alloy and Cobalt– Chromium alloy. Test results showed that the microleakage values of Group V differed significantly from that of Group I, Group II, Group III, Group IV, Group VI, Group VII and Group VIII with their microleakage values at 5% level of significance for both Nickel– Chromium alloy and Cobalt– Chromium alloy (Table V & VI). This analysis indicates superiority of the surface treatment given to group V specimens who were treated by sandblasting and application of silane coupling agent for both Nickel– Chromium alloy and Cobalt– Chromium alloy.

Statistical Pair wise comparison by Student Paired “t” test of difference in mean of microleakage values of the eight groups between Heat cure acrylic resin – Nickel–Chromium and Heat cure acrylic resin– Cobalt–Chromium. The results from the above test showed, no significant difference among the different surface treatment methods employed in controlling microleakage between both the alloys (Table VII).

**Table IV: Mean and Standard deviation heat cure acrylic resin– Cobalt–Chromium interface after different surface treatment.**

GROUPS	MEANS	S.D	C.V	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
I	7.1000	2.6013	36.6378	3	11
II	9.7000	3.9172	40.3835	3	15
III	7.7000	2.8694	37.2647	4	14
IV	11.3000	2.3118	20.4585	8	15
V	3.6000	2.6331	73.1423	0	7
VI	11.9000	2.8848	24.2422	8	17
VII	13.4000	2.9136	21.7431	8	17
VIII	17.7000	4.0838	23.0726	10	23

**Table V: Comparison of groups by ANOVA for microleakage in heat cure acrylic resin–Cobalt–chromium interface after different surface treatment.**

Source of variation	DF	SS	MSS	F-value	P-value	Signi.
Between groups	7	1301.8000	185.9714	19.5474	0.0000*	HS
Within groups	72	685.0000	9.5139			
Total	79	1986.8000				

\*Significant at 1% level of significance (p<0.01)

**Table VI: Newman-Keuls post hoc multiple comparative test for microleakage in different groups of Heat cure acrylic resin- Cobalt-Chromium interface after different surface treatment.**

Group	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Means	7.1000	9.7000	7.7000	11.3000	3.6000	11.9000	13.4000	17.7000
I								
II	0.1505							
III	0.6650	0.1515						
IV	0.0169**	0.2500	0.0294**					
V	0.0134**	0.0003*	0.0112**	0.0001				
VI	0.0075*	0.2545	0.0169**	0.6650	0.0001			
VII	0.0004	0.0440*	0.0010*	0.2866	0.0001*	0.2806		
VIII	0.0001*	0.0001*	0.0001*	0.0002*	0.0001*	0.0003*	0.0028*	

\*Significant at 1% level of significance (p<0.01)

\*\*Significant at 5% level of significance (p<0.05)

**Table VII: Statistical Pair wise comparison by Student Paired “t” test of difference in mean of microleakage values of the eight groups between Heat cure acrylic resin – Nickel-Chromium and Heat cure acrylic resin- Cobalt-Chromium.**

Group	Cobalt-Chromium		Nickel-Chromium		t-value	p-value	Signi.
	Mean	Std.Dev.	Mean	Std.Dev.			
I	7.1000	2.6013	6.3000	2.4060	0.7140	0.4844	NS
II	9.7000	3.9172	8.9000	4.2282	0.4389	0.6659	NS
III	7.7000	2.8694	6.4000	3.0623	0.9796	0.3403	NS
IV	11.3000	2.3118	10.8000	3.0840	0.4102	0.6865	NS
V	3.6000	2.6331	3.3000	2.5841	0.2571	0.8000	NS
VI	11.9000	2.8848	10.9000	2.7264	0.7967	0.4360	NS
VII	13.4000	2.9136	12.6000	3.0258	0.6023	0.5545	NS
VIII	17.7000	4.0838	17.3000	4.0565	0.2197	0.8285	NS

### DISCUSSION

Denture base resins are commonly used with base metals alloys for the fabrication of removable partial dentures. The bonding is mostly achieved by mechanical retentive methods or by surface treatment of the alloys. However there is absence of chemical bonding of resin which affects the metal-resin interface. Microscopically a space is present, between the metal framework and resin part of denture.

The purpose of sandblasting the bonding surface was to increase the surface area for bonding by micromechanical retention and to decreasing the surface tension<sup>5</sup>. Silane coupling agent was used to enhance the bond between metal and acrylic. They possess both organic and inorganic properties; hence these chemicals react with polymer and minerals components forming covalent bonds across the interface, improving adhesion and durability.

Tin plating was initially introduced to improve the bond strength between Nobel and high Nobel metal alloys to resin cements. The effectiveness of tin plating on the bond strength of resins cements to metal shows a lot of variation. There are conflicting results as to whether; the positive effect of tin plating is dependent or independent of tin plating.

It was observed for both the metals that the microleakage values are in increasing magnitude as Group V< Group I < Group III< Group II< Group IV< Group VI< Group VII< Group VIII. Group VIII which did not receive any surface treatment had the maximum amount of microleakage. This can be attributed to lack of micromechanical retention, surface contamination and reduced wettability of the alloy surface [6,7].

The decreased level of microleakage in Group V for both the alloys can be attributed to the combined effect of sand blasting and use of the silane coupling agent, which resulted better bonding there by reducing microleakage. Mukai et al [8] reported that silane when used in combination with sand blasting improves wettability and enhances the chemical bonding between metal and acrylic.

The results from the study indicate that Tin plating did not cause any improvement in the samples when used alone or when used in different combination in fact it deteriorated the bonding of heat cure acrylic resin with the metal even though the surface were treated by sandblasting and or silane coupling agent (Group II, VI, VII) . The results were not consistent or as effective as Group V. The effectiveness of tin plating in improving bond strength for noble metal has been reported in many studies [9,10]. A different result

has been reported in a study by Imberry et al<sup>[11]</sup>, it was reported as to whether positive effect of Tin plating of Noble metal alloys on bond strength was dependent on tin plating or not. Other studies have shown lower bond strength values of Tin plated alloys when used with Nickel–Chromium–Beryllium alloys<sup>[12]</sup>.

Students Paired T-test was performed to compare the mean values of the measured microleakage of the eight groups between Heat cure acrylic resin – Nickel–Chromium and Heat cure acrylic resin– Cobalt–Chromium interface. The p-value showed no significance at 5% level of significance ( $p < 0.05$ ). The results from the test showed there is no significant difference among the different surface treatment methods employed in controlling microleakage between both the alloys.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the study, the following pre-treatment is recommended in order to reduce the amount of microleakage between Heat cure acrylic and base metal alloys.

- Finishing of the metal surface using burs.
- Sandblasting by controlled application of 110 $\mu$ m alumina air abrasive to metal surface and then cleaning it in ultrasonic bath for 10min.
- Application of silane coupling agent uniformly on sandblasted surface of metal before packing.

### REFERENCES

1. Imberrey TA, Evans DB, Koeppen RG. A new method of attaching of gold cast occlusal teeth to acrylic resin teeth. *Quintessence*. 1993;24:29–33
2. Lametein A, Bleckman H. Marginal seepage around acrylic resin veneers in gold crowns. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1956;6:706–9
3. Jacobson TE, Chang JC, Keri PP, Watanabe LG. Bond strength of 4–META acrylic resin denture base to cobalt chromium alloy. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1988; 60(5):570–576.
4. Livaditis GJ, Thompson VP. Etched casting: An improved retentive mechanism for resin bonded retainers. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1982; 47:52–8
5. Mukai M, Fukui H, Hasegawa J. Relationship between sandblasting and composite resin–alloy bond strength b silica coating. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1995; 74: 151–5.
6. Petrie CS, Erik D, Williams K, Spencer P. A comparison of three surface treatments for resin–bonded prosthesis. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2001;10(4):217–223.
7. Dixon DL, Breeding LC. Shear bond strength of a two paste system resin luting agents used to bond alloys to enamel. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1997; 78:132–135.
8. Mukai M, Fukui H, Hasegawa J. Relationship between sand blasting and composite resin–alloy bond strength by silica coating. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1995;74:151–5
9. Gates WD, Arnold AM, Aquilino SA. Comparison of adhesive strength of BIS–GMA cement to tin–plated and non tin–plated alloys. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1995;74:230–234
10. Watanabe F, Powers JM, Lorey RE. In vitro bonding of prosthodontic adhesives to alloys. *J Dent Res*. 1988;67:1376–80
11. Imberry TA, Davis RD. Evaluation of tin plating system for a high noble alloy. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1993; 6:55–59.
12. Olin PS, Hill EM. Tensile strength of air abraded vs. tin–plated metals luted with three cements. *J Dent Res*. 1991;70:387